



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,879	09/10/2003	David Matthew Oles	IGTECH.0115P	6926
7590 11/01/2004			EXAMINER	
MICHAEL R. HULL			ONEILL, MICHAEL W	
MARSHALL,G	ERSTEIN & BORUN L	LLP		
6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			3713	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/660,879	OLES ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Michael O'Neill	3713					
rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THIS APPLICATION IN COND oid abandonment of this applic a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a					
PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) Manage The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
on and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
r consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
elow);						
(c) Methey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
□ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
- W (IMA					
	Examiner Michael O'Neill rs on the cover sheet with the sapplication at timely filed amendment while (with appeal fee); or (3) a timelous sheet of the final rejection. Solve of the final rejection and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in this after the mailing date of the final rejection and/or search (selow); To consideration and/or search (selow); To be the form for appeal by mathematically of the short of the solve of the so					

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: new limitations would require further seacrch and consideration with respect to obviousness..